



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/734,290	12/15/2003	Minoru Tsunezaki	43888-287	1784

7590 10/23/2006

MCDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY
600 13th Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20005-3096

EXAMINER

CARPIO, IVAN HERNAN

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2841

DATE MAILED: 10/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/734,290	Applicant(s) TSUNEZAKI ET AL.	
	Examiner Ivan H. Carpio	Art Unit 2841	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Ivan H. Carpio. (3) Tomoki Tanida.
 (2) _____. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 October 2006.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.


Identification of prior art discussed: Williams (US 2949182) and Watanabe et al. (US 4643929).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the examiner's interpretation of claim 1 and the reading of the two references used in the rejection. Discussed the applicant's proposed amendment which the examiner will consider in an office action when it is entered.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


 DEAN A. REICHARD
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

10/16/06

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

 Examiner's signature, if required